

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/630,203	07/29/2003	Thomas Thisted	10062.210-US	1994	
25908 7590 09/19/2007 NOVOZYMES NORTH AMERICA, INC. 500 FIFTH AVENUE			EXAMINER		
			PROUTY, REBECCA E		
SUITE 1600 NEW YORK, NY 10110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			. 1652		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	09/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/630,203	THISTED ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Rebecca E. Prouty	1652	

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Rebecca E. Prouty	1652					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress				
THE REPLY FILED 04 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amenda condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appearmentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aba ment, affidavit, or other evidence, al fee) in compliance with 37 CFF e reply must be filed within one of	indonment of this appli which places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque	ication in est for Continued				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH 06.07(f)	HE FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amour hortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing o	nt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Offi late of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,				
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appea was filed on <u>04 September 2007</u> . A brief in compliance w Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension their of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the <u>AMENDMENTS</u>	ith 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed w reof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid one time period set forth in 37 CFR	ithin two months of the dismissal of the appeal 41.37(a).	date of filing the . Since a Notice				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b			ecause <sub>,</sub>				
<ul> <li>(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be</li> </ul>	ow);	•	the issues for				
appeal; and/or	ion tor appear by materially		1110 100000 101				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a							
NOTE: combinations of amended claim 45 were n							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			4				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			•				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided		be entered and an exp	lanation of how				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 41-50.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence i	s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☑ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.				
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see attached.	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	•				
13. Other:							
	•						

Application/Control Number: 10/630,203

Art Unit: 1652

The rejections of Claims 41-50 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph are maintained for the reasons of record in view of the non-entry of the amendments to the claims.

The rejections of Claims 41, 42, 44, and 46-50 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Andersen et al. (US PG-PUBS 2003/0129718) and Claim 43 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andersen et al. are maintained. Applicants submitted a declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 which states that Carsten Andersen is the inventor of the subject matter from US PG-PUBS 2003/0129718 which is relied upon in the rejection. Thus, the subject matter relied upon in US PG-PUBS 2003/0129718 is not "by another," and is therefore not prior art to the instant application. However, this is not persuasive as Carsten Andersen is not the sole inventor of the instant application and thus the reference cited remains "by another". No evidence of distinct inventorship of individual claims of the instant application has been filed. As such the inventive entity of the application as filed is presumed to be the inventive entity of all claims herein.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rebecca E. Prouty whose telephone number is 571-272-0937. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 8 AM to 5 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Mondays

Application/Control Number: 10/630,203 Page 3

Art Unit: 1652

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura Achutamurthy, can be reached at (571) 272-0928. The fax phone number for this Group is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Rebecca Prouty/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1652